



ISSN: 3060-4613



MAKTABGACHA
VA MAKTAB
TA'LIMI VAZIRLIGI



O'zbekiston
Milliy Pedagogika
Universiteti




№7(3)
2026

- 13.00.00 Pedagogika fanlari
- 13.00.01 Pedagogika nazariyasi. Pedagogik ta'limotlar tarixi
- 13.00.02 Ta'lim va tarbiya nazariyasi va metodikasi (sohalar bo'yicha)
- 13.00.03 Maxsus pedagogika
- 13.00.04 Jismoniy tarbiya va sport mashg'ulotlari nazariyasi va metodikasi
- 13.00.05 Kasb-hunar ta'limi nazariyasi va metodikasi
- 13.00.06 Elektron ta'lim nazariyasi va metodikasi (ta'lim sohaları va bosqichlari bo'yicha)
- 13.00.07 Ta'limda menejment
- 13.00.08 Maktabgacha ta'lim va tarbiya nazariyasi va metodikasi
- 13.00.09 Ijtimoiy pedagogika
- 07.00.00 Tarix fanlari
- 19.00.00 Psixologiya fanlari
- 01.00.00 Fizika-matematika fanlari
- 02.00.00 Kimyo fanlari
- 03.00.00 Biologiya fanlari
- 09.00.00 Falsafa fanlari
- 10.00.00 Filologiya fanlari
- 11.00.00 Geografiya fanlari

M

AKTABGACHA VA AKTAB TA'LIMI

Pedagogika, psixologiya fanlariga ixtisoslashgan ilmiy jurnal



MAKTABGACHA VA MAKTAB TA'LIMI



Elektron nashr. 360 sahifa,
25-iyul, 2026-yil.

BOSH MUHARRIR:

Karimova E'zoza Gapirjanovna – O'zbekiston Respublikasi Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi vaziri

BOSH MUHARRIR O'RINBOSARI:

Ibragimova Gulsanam Ne'matovna – Pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor

TAHRIRIYAT KENGASHI A'ZOLARI

Ibragimov X.I. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, akademik
Shoumarov G'.B. – psixologiya fanlari doktori, akademik
Qirg'izboyev A.K. – Tarix fanlari doktori, professor
Jamoldinova O.R. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
Sharipov Sh.S. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
Shermuhhammadov B.Sh. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
Ma'murov B.B. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
Madraximova F.R. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
Kalonov M.B. – iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor
Nabiyev D.X. – iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor
Qo'ldoshev Q. M. – iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor
Ikramxanova F.I. – filologiya fanlari doktori, professor
Ismagilova F.S. – psixologiya fanlari doktori, professor (Rossiya)
Stoyuxina N.Yu. – psixologiya fanlari nomzodi, dotsent (Rossiya)
Magauova A.S. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor (Qozog'iston)
Rejep O'zyurek – psixologiya fanlari doktori, professor (Turkiya)
Woosyu Cha – Koreya milliy ta'lim universiteti rektori (Koreya)
Polonnikov A.A. – psixologiya fanlari nomzodi, dotsent (Belarus)
Mizayeva F. O. – Pedagogika fanlari doktori, dotsent
Baybayeva M.X. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
Muxsiyeva A.T. – pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
Aliyev B. – falsafa fanlari doktori, professor
Abdullayeva N. Sh. – Pedagogika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Doniyorov S. M. – "Yangi O'zbekiston" va "Pravda Vostoka" gazetalari tahririyati DM bosh muharriri, O'zbekiston Respublikasida xizmat ko'rsatgan jurnalist, filologiya fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent
G'afurov D. O. – falsafa fanlari doktori (PhD)
Shomurodov R.T. – iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi (PhD), dotsent
Mirzayeva F. O. – pedagogika fanlari doktori (DSc), dotsent
Jalilova S.X. – psixologiya fanlari nomzodi (PhD), dotsent
Bafayev M.M. – psixologiya fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent
Usmonova D.I. – Samarqand iqtisodiyot va servis institute dotsenti
Saifnazarov I. – falsafa fanlari doktori, professor
Nematov Sh.E. – pedagogika fanlari nomzodi (PhD)
Tillashayxova X.A. – psixologiya fanlari nomzodi (PhD), dotsent
Yuldasheva F.I. – pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent
Yuldasheva D.B. – filologiya fanlari bo'yicha falsafa (PhD) doktori, dotsent
Tangriyev A. T. – Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti kafedra professori
Ashurov R. R. – psixologiya fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent
Panjiyev M. A. – Qashqadaryo viloyati Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi boshqarmasi boshlig'ining birinchi o'rinbosari
Xudayberganov N. A. – Xorazm Ma'mun akademiyasi Tabiiy fanlar bo'limining katta ilmiy xodimi, biologiya fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)
Vaxobov Anvar Abdusattor o'g'li – Pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori, dotsent

Muassis: "Tadbirkor va ishbilarmon" MChJ

Hamkorlarimiz: O'zbekiston Respublikasi Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi vazirligi, O'zbekiston milliy pedagogika universiteti

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Karimova E'zoza Gapirzhanovna – Minister of Perschool and School Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan

DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Ibragimova Gulsanam Ne'matovna – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS:

Ibragimov X.I. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Academician

Shoumarov G'. B. – Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Academician

Qirg'izboyev A. K. – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor

Jamoldinova O.R. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

Sharipov Sh.S. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

Shermuhhammadov B.Sh. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

Ma'murov B.B. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

Madraximova F.R. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

Kalonov M.B. – Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor

Nabiyev D.X. – Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor

Koldoshev K. M. – Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor

Ikramxanova F.I. – Doctor of Philological Sciences, Professor

Ismagilova F.S. – Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Professor (Russia)

Stoyuxina N.Yu. – Candidate of Psychological Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor (Russia)

Magauova A.S. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor (Kazakhstan)

Rejep O'zyurek – Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Professor (Turkey)

Wookyu Cha – President of the National University of Education, Korea (South Korea)

Polonnikov A.A. – Candidate of Psychological Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor (Belarus)

Mizayeva F. O. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

Baybayeva M.X. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

Muxsiyeva A.T. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor

Aliyev B. – Doctor of philosophy, professor

Abdullayeva N. Sh. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences (DSc), Professor

Doniyorov S. M. – Editor-in-Chief of the DM Editorial Office of the newspapers “Yangi O'zbekiston” and “Pravda Vostoka”, Honored Journalist of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Philology, Associate Professor

Gafurov D. O. – Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

Shomurodov R.T. – Candidate of Economic Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor

Mirzayeva F. O. – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences (DSc), Associate Professor

Jalilova S.X. – Candidate of Psychological Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor

Bafayev M.M. – Doctor of Philosophy in Psychological Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor

Usmonova D.I. – Associate Professor, Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service

Saifnazarov I. – Doctor of philosophy, professor

Nematov Sh.E. – Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences (PhD)

Tillashayxova X.A. – Candidate of Psychological Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor

Yuldasheva F.I. – Doctor of Philosophy in Pedagogical Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor

Yuldasheva D.B. – Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Philological Sciences, Associate Professor

Tangriyev A.T. – is a professor of Tashkent State University of Economics

Ashurov R. R. – Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Psychology, Associate Professor

Panjiyev M. A. – First Deputy Head of the Department of Preschool and School Education of the Kashkadarya Region

Khudaiberganov N. A. – Senior Researcher of the Department of Natural Sciences of the Khorezm Mamun

Academy, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Biological Sciences

Vakhobov Anvar Abdusattor oğlu – Doctor of Philosophy in Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor

“Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi” jurnali O'zbekiston Respublikasi Oliy attestatsiya komissiyasining quyidagi qarorlariga asosan pedagogika va psixologiya fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD) hamda fan doktori (DSc) ilmiy darajasiga talabgorlarning dissertatsiyalaridagi asosiy ilmiy natijalarni chop etish uchun milliy ilmiy nashrlar ro'yxatiga kiritilgan:

Pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha: OAK Kengashi tavsiyasi (26.08.2024-y., №11-05-4381/01) asosida:

- Ekspert kengashi (29.10.2024-y., №10)
- Rayosat qarori (31.10.2024-y., №363/5)

Psixologiya fanlari bo'yicha: Toshkent davlat pedagogika universiteti murojaatiga asosan OAK tavsiyasi (24.04.2025-y., №11-05-2566/01):

- Ekspert kengashi (25.05.2025-y., №10)
- Rayosat qarori (08.05.2025-y., №370/5)

“Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi”
jurnali

26.09.2023-yildan

O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti
Administratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot
va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar
agentligi tomonidan **№C-5669363**
reyestr raqami tartibi bo'yicha
ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.

Litsenziya raqami: **№136361**

MUNDARIJA

Imkoniyati cheklangan talabalarda jismoniy sifatlarni sport mashg'ulotlari yordamida rivojlantirishning afzalliklari	8
Davronov Rustam Iloxovich	
Использование фонетических игр и современных технологий в обучении русскому языку в общеобразовательных школах Узбекистана	15
Абумалик Муталлиевич Дедаханов	
Maktablarda chaqiruvga qadar boshlang'ich tayyorgarlikni isloh qilish muammo va yechimlar	20
Qudratov O'ktam G'ofurovich	
The Role of Motivation in Learning English	25
Kamoldinova Mushtariybonu Azizbek qizi	
Maktabgacha ta'lim tashkilotlarida inklyuziv ta'lim muhitini boshqarishning ijtimoiy-pedagogik asoslari	30
Axrarova Gulrux Gulamkadirovna	
Bo'lajak logopedlarda metakognitiv-dagnostik kompetentlikni rivojlantirishning xorijiy va milliy tajribasi	37
Suvankulova Shaxnoza Karimkulovna	
Ingliz tili darslarida individual va pragmatik yondashuvni amalga oshirish texnologiyalari	43
Yuldasheva Dilnoza Bobojonovna	
Talabalarining texnologik fikrlash kompetensiyalarini rivojlantirishning tarkibiy komponentlari	48
Kushbakova Barno Batirkulovna	
Maktabgacha ta'lim tashkilotlarida inklyuziv ta'limni joriy etishda ijtimoiy hamkorlik va jamoatchilik fikrini shakllantirish	54
Arslanova Madinaxon Mansurovna	
O'quvchi-yoshlarga axloqiy ta'lim-tarbiya berishda qoraqalpoq dostonlarining ahamiyati	59
Esemuratova Turganbike Aytmuratovna	
Talaba markazli yondashuv asosida ingliz tilini o'qitishda interaktiv metodlardan foydalanish samaradorligi	63
Narzullayeva Firuza Olimovna	
Maktabgacha ta'lim tashkilotlarida 5-6 yoshdagi bolalarni kasbga yo'naltirish bo'yicha metodik xizmatni takomillashtirish	67
Rizayeva Munisxon Maxkamovna	
Generativ sun'iy intellekt vositalarining ta'lim jarayonidagi pedagogik imkoniyatlari	71
Shodiyev Azizbek Olim o'g'li	
Shaxs kamolotida psixologik salomatlikning ahamiyati va asosiy ko'rsatkichlari	76
Xolova Yulduz Erkinovna	
Zamonaviy ta'lim paradigmasida fizika fanini o'qitish: virtual va to'ldirilgan borliq hamda sun'iy intellekt texnologiyalarining integrativ metodikasi	83
Zuhriddinov Farrux Faxriddin o'g'li	
Event ta'lim texnologiyasi vositasida talabalarining o'qish samaradorligini oshirish	87
Latipova Ma'rifat Salohiddin qizi, Bo'tayeva Saodat Xudoyor qizi	
Boshlang'ich sinf o'quvchilarining intellektual faolligini rivojlantirishga ta'sir etuvchi individual-psixologik omillar	90
Djurakulova Munira Suyunovna	
Ingliz tilini o'qitishda interaktiv metodlarni takomillashtirish modeli hamda ularning ta'lim samaradorligiga ta'siri	97
Narzullayeva Firuza Olimovna	
Народное творчество как великое сокровище интеллектуального воспитания	102
Матмуратова Гулистан Камалатдиновна	
Raqamli intellekt: o'quvchilarda mediasavodxonlik kompetensiyasini rivojlantirish – davr talabi	108
Nishonova Muattarxon Alisherovna, Toirova Nafisa Muzaffar qizi	



Umumta'lim fanlaridan dars samaradorligini oshirishda axborot texnologiyalaridan foydalanishni takomillashtirishning ilmiy-metodik asoslari.....	111
Toshmamatova Munisa Toshmamatovna	
Xorazm viloyatida ekoturizmni rivojlantirish istiqbollari (tumanlar kesimida)	115
Xo'jyozova Dildora Shonazar qizi, Dusanova Sharofat Baltaboyevna	
Maktabgacha yoshdagi bolalarda kreativ yondashuv asosida innovatsion tafakkur ko'nikmalarini rivojlantirishning zamonaviy yo'nalishlari.....	121
Kadirova Dildora Najadbekovna	
The Integration of Artificial Intelligence in English Language Teaching: Opportunities and Challenges	125
Moxinur Yusupova	
Pragmatik-tizimli portfolio (PTP) texnologiyasi: talabalar reflektiv tafakkurini faoliyat dalillari asosida rivojlantirish.....	131
Abdullayeva Ziroatxon Qurbonovna	
Sog'lig'ida cheklovlari mavjud bolalar jismoniy va psixologik holatini takomillashtirishda yengil atletika mashg'ulotlarining ahamiyati	136
Hikmatova Nigoraxon Hasanboy qizi	
Art badiiy ta'limning rivojida klaster yondashuvi	140
A. S. Umarov	
Simulyatsion o'yin muhitida maktabgacha yoshdagi bolalarning ijtimoiy-hissiy kompetentligini shakllantirish imkoniyatlari.....	143
Turg'unova Mohinaxon Akmaljon qizi	
O'smirlarda deviant xulq-atvor shakllanishining ijtimoiy-psixologik xususiyatlari (empirik tadqiqot).....	150
Toshpolatova Robiya Akmal qizi	
Bo'lajak boshlang'ich sinf o'qituvchilarida milliy mafkurani shakllantirishning pedagogik mexanizmlarini takomillashtirish	154
Ahmadov Olimjon Shodmonovich, Esanova Mehrgul Qurbanazarovna	
Maktabgacha ta'lim tashkilotlaridagi dizartriyaning yengil shakliga ega 6-7 yoshli bolalardagi nutq kamchiliklarini o'yin texnologiyalari asosida korreksiyalashning samaradorligi.....	159
Baxadirova Zulfizar Azimbayevna	
Boshlang'ich sinf o'quvchilarida kommunikativ va ijtimoiy-hissiy kompetensiyalarni baholashning diagnostik vositalarini tanlash va qo'llash metodikasi.....	163
Abdullayeva Munojot Muxtorovna	
Talabalarni o'quvchilarning tibbiy tashxisiga mos pedagogik yondashuvlardan foydalanishga o'rgatish usullari.....	169
Yuldashova Iroda Dilshodovna	
Uzoq muddat davolanishga muhtoj bolalar uchun ta'lim muassasalarining tashkil etilishi.....	174
Yusupjanova Shaxnoz Mirzatillayevna	
O'zbekiston umumiy o'rta ta'lim tizimida raqamli STEAM muhitini joriy etish: o'qituvchilar tayyorgarligi va infratuzilma cheklovlari tahlili.....	178
Abdullayev Doston Sheraliyevich	
Bo'lajak maxsus pedagoglarning faol fuqarolik kompetensiyasining tarkibiy-mezonli modeli va rivojlanganlik darajalari	183
Sultonov Muxammadali Fayzulla o'g'li	
Совершенствование механизмов интеграции стратегического и функционального менеджмента на основе анализа деятельности государственных и международных филиалов вузов	189
A. M. Маргунов	
Решение педагогических проблем, возникающих при обучении письму леворуких учащихся начальных классов	194
Эгамбердиева Юлдуз	
Biologiya ta'limida generativ sun'iy intellekt texnologiyalaridan foydalanishning pedagogik imkoniyatlari va metodik asoslari	198
Parmonova Marjona O'ktam qizi, Baybayeva Muxayyo Xudoyberganovna	

Bolalarda emotsional intellektni rivojlantirishning pedagogik-psixologik asoslari	204
<i>Sherdanova Farangiz Raxmat qizi</i>	
Zamonaviy televideniya suxandon nutqining tabiiyligi va auditoriya bilan ishonchli muloqot	208
<i>Maxanbetova Dilnoza</i>	
10-sinf kimyo darslarida "Spirtlar" bo'limini o'qitishda o'quvchilarning kreativ fikrlash qobiliyatlarini shakllantirish metodikasi.....	211
<i>Nazarova Yulduz Atamuratovna</i>	
Maktabgacha yoshdagi bolalarda ijtimoiy ko'nikmalarni shakllantirishda ijodiy o'yinlardan foydalanish	215
<i>Yuldasheva Shoxida Botirovna, Kurbanbayeva Moldir Abatbay qiz</i>	
Milliy taraqqiyot g'oyalari jadid ayollarining o'rni.....	220
<i>Ergashova Mehriniso Husniddin qizi</i>	
Mahalla – oila – ta'lim muassasasi hamkorligi.....	223
<i>Muxtarov Utkirjon Mutalibjanovich, Abdusamatov Ozodbek</i>	
Oliy ta'lim muassasalarida "Mikrobiologiya" modulini raqamli texnologiyalar asosida o'qitishning didaktik imkoniyatlari	227
<i>Reymova Erkinay Atabayevna</i>	
Raqamli ta'lim transformatsiyasi sharoitida professor-o'qituvchilarning kasbiy motivatsiyasini rivojlantirishning pedagogik omillari.....	232
<i>Abdusamiyev Dilmurod Abdug'ani o'g'li</i>	
Translanguaging as a Pedagogical Resource in Uzbek EFL Classrooms: Insights from a Classroom Observation	238
<i>Aziza Sobirova</i>	
Katta maktabgacha yoshdagi bolalarning ijodiy tasavvurini rivojlantirishning pedagogik-psixologik asoslari.....	243
<i>Otayeva Salamat Sabirovna</i>	
System-Structure Research of Artificial Intelligence Terms	250
<i>Odilova Fotima</i>	
Oliy ta'lim tashkilotlarida qo'llaniladigan zamonaviy ta'lim metodlarining mazmuni va o'ziga xos xususiyatlari.....	259
<i>Berdiyeva Nilufar Axatovna</i>	
Zamonaviy smart texnologiyalar asosida talabalarning texnik fikrlash kompetentligini rivojlantirishning didaktik imkoniyatlari.....	267
<i>Eshonqulova Madina Nosir qizi</i>	
Модель развития проектно-конструкторской компетентности студентов с использованием автоматизированных цифровых программ.....	272
<i>Камолова Махлиё Акбар кизи</i>	
Boshlang'ich sinf tabiiy fanlar darslarida sun'iy intellekt vositalari asosida tadqiqotchilik kompetensiyalarini shakllantirish metodikasi.....	277
<i>Savriddinova Mexrinov Ulug'bekovna</i>	
Ijtimoiy qo'llab-quvvatlashning tug'ruqdan keyingi davr ayollarida onalikdan mamnunlik bilan bog'liqligi.....	282
<i>Kalandarova Madina Baxadirovna</i>	
Bo'lajak o'qituvchilarda lingvistik kompetentlikni rivojlantirish texnologiyalari	285
<i>Kamolova Mashhura Otabek qizi</i>	
Terminology and Lexical Problems in Modern Linguistics and AI.....	289
<i>Odilova Fotima</i>	
Adabiy ta'limda badiiy matnlar orqali o'qish kompetensiyalarini takomillashtirish metodikasi.....	294
<i>Abdujabborova Nilufar Rustamjon qizi</i>	
Tajriba-sinov ishlarini tashkil etish, uning bosqichlari va diagnostika metodikalari.....	298
<i>Boltayeva Go'zal Komilovna</i>	
Boshlang'ich sinf o'quvchilarning nutqiy muloqotini rivojlantirishda matn bilan ishlashning assotsiativ tafakkurga asoslangan metodikasi	302
<i>Hamroyeva Xolida Rizoqul qizi</i>	



Bo'lajak biologiya o'qituvchilarining kasbiy-metodik kompetentligini baholashning kvalimetrik asoslari	307
<i>Niyozova Shahlo Abdusaitovna</i>	
Oilaviy holat, ma'lumot darajasi va pedagogik stajning ayollar emotsional barqarorligiga ta'sir etuvchi psixologik xususiyatlari	313
<i>Pulatova Dilfuza Baxramovna</i>	
"Kommunikativ kompetensiya"ning tarkibiy komponentlari.....	318
<i>Saidova Sumbuloy Otabayevna</i>	
Kasbiy kompetensiyani shakllantirishda sun'iy intellekt texnologiyalaridan foydalanish	321
<i>Xamidova Zaynura Ramazonovna</i>	
Wiki texnologiyasi asosida ingliz tili darslarini tashkil etish bosqichlari	324
<i>Yunusova Dildora Hamrakulovna</i>	
Nogiron farzandli turli yoshdagi onalarning psixologik farovonligi bilan depressiya, xavotir va stress o'rtasidagi o'zaro bog'liqlik.....	328
<i>Kasimova Xulkar Atabayevna</i>	
Talaba-qizlarda sog'lom turmush tarzi qadriyatlarini rivojlantirishning nazariy-metodologik asoslari	332
<i>Esanova Gulchehra Boybo'ri qizi</i>	
Особенности иммунного статуса при аллергодерматозах у жителей ташкентской области.....	338
<i>Саипова Н. С., Абдуллаева Ф. Б.</i>	
Aqliy xaritalar va mnemotexnikani birlashtirib, bolalarning fikrlashini o'stirish.....	343
<i>Egamberdiyeva Xuriyat Egamberdiyevna, Kaxxarova Ozoda Abdimo'minovna</i>	
Математическое развитие по STEAM технологиям	349
<i>Садикова Д. Х.</i>	
Adaptive Trust-Aware Multi-Criteria Decision Method for Artificial Intelligence Systems: A Conceptual Framework and Mathematical Model	353
<i>Yuldashev Nodirbek Abdumannob o'g'li</i>	



ADAPTIVE TRUST-AWARE MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION METHOD FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS: A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Yuldashev Nodirbek Abdumannob o'g'li
Andijan State Technical Institute

ORCID iD: 0009-0008-7494-4879

Abstract: The rapid proliferation of artificial intelligence systems across safety-critical domains has exposed fundamental limitations in existing decision-making methodologies. Current multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) approaches operate under static assumptions, trust-aware architectures lack formal mathematical grounding, and adaptive mechanisms fail to unify both dimensions within a coherent framework. This paper introduces the Adaptive Trust-Aware Multi-Criteria Decision Method (ATMCDM), a novel conceptual framework and mathematical model designed to address these interrelated deficiencies. ATMCDM unifies trust calibration, dynamic weight adaptation, and multi-criteria optimization within a single mathematically rigorous architecture. The proposed methodology introduces six formal constructs: a multidimensional state vector, a context-sensitive trust function, a knowledge accumulation function, an adaptive weight mechanism, a composite decision function, and a dynamic reward-driven update rule. A corresponding algorithm is presented with computational complexity analysis. Through critical comparison with existing MCDM methods, trust-aware models, and adaptive decision algorithms, the paper demonstrates that ATMCDM overcomes the rigidity of conventional approaches while providing theoretical guarantees for convergence and consistency.

Key words: multi-criteria decision making; trust-aware computing; adaptive systems; artificial intelligence; decision intelligence; explainable AI; human-AI collaboration; mathematical decision model.

Annotatsiya: Xavfsizlik uchun muhim sohalarida sun'iy intellekt tizimlarining tez tarqalishi mavjud qaror qabul qilish metodologiyalaridagi fundamental cheklavlarni ochib berdi. Mavjud ko'p mezonli qaror qabul qilish (KMQQQ) yondashuvlari statik taxminlar ostida ishlaydi, ishonchga asoslangan arxitekturalar rasmiy matematik asosga ega emas va moslashuvchan mexanizmlar ikkala o'lchamni ham izchil doirada birlashtira olmaydi. Ushbu maqolada ushbu o'zaro bog'liq kamchiliklarni bartaraf etish uchun mo'ljallangan yangi konseptual asos va matematik model bo'lgan Adaptiv Ishonchga asoslangan Ko'p Mezonli Qaror Usuli (AMKMQQQ) taqdim etiladi. AMKMQQQ ishonchni kalibrlash, dinamik vaznga moslashish va ko'p mezonli optimallashtirishni yagona matematik jihatdan qat'iy arxitektura ichida birlashtiradi. Taklif etilgan metodologiya oltita rasmiy konstruksiyani taqdim etadi: ko'p o'lchovli holat vektori, kontekstga sezgir ishonch funksiyasi, bilim to'plash funksiyasi, moslashuvchan vazn mexanizmi, kompozit qaror funksiyasi va dinamik mukofotga asoslangan yangilash qoidasi. Tegishli algoritm hisoblash murakkabligi tahlili bilan taqdim etiladi.

Kalit so'zlar: ko'p mezonli qaror qabul qilish; ishonchga asoslangan hisoblash; moslashuvchan tizimlar; sun'iy intellekt; qaror qabul qilish intellekti; tushunarli AI; inson-AI hamkorligi; matematik qaror modeli.



Аннотация: Быстрое распространение систем искусственного интеллекта в критически важных областях безопасности выявило фундаментальные ограничения существующих методологий принятия решений. Современные подходы к многокритериальному принятию решений (СПМПР) работают на основе статических предположений, архитектуры, учитывающие доверие, не имеют формального математического обоснования, а адаптивные механизмы не могут объединить оба измерения в целостную структуру. В данной статье представлен адаптивный метод многокритериального принятия решений, учитывающий доверие (АСПМПР), - новая концептуальная основа и математическая модель, разработанная для решения этих взаимосвязанных проблем. АСПМПР объединяет калибровку доверия, динамическую адаптацию весов и многокритериальную оптимизацию в рамках единой математически строгой архитектуры. Предложенная методология включает шесть формальных конструкций: многомерный вектор состояния, контекстно-зависимую функцию доверия, функцию накопления знаний, адаптивный механизм весов, составную функцию принятия решений и динамическое правило обновления, основанное на вознаграждении. Представлен соответствующий алгоритм с анализом вычислительной сложности. В данной статье путем критического сравнения с существующими методами многокритериального принятия решений (СПМПР), моделями, учитывающими доверие, и адаптивными алгоритмами принятия решений показано, что АСПМПР преодолевает жесткость традиционных подходов, обеспечивая при этом теоретические гарантии сходимости и согласованности.

Ключевые слова: многокритериальное принятие решений; вычисления с учетом доверия; адаптивные системы; искусственный интеллект; интеллект принятия решений; объяснимый ИИ; сотрудничество человека и ИИ; математическая модель принятия решений.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of artificial intelligence into decision-critical infrastructures has accelerated at an unprecedented pace. From autonomous vehicle navigation to clinical diagnostic support and algorithmic financial trading, AI systems are no longer merely auxiliary tools but active participants in consequential decision processes ^{[1][2]}. This transition, while technologically remarkable, has precipitated a fundamental methodological tension: existing decision frameworks were not designed for environments in which machines must simultaneously evaluate multiple conflicting criteria, calibrate human trust dynamically, and adapt to shifting contextual conditions in real time.

Adaptive decision algorithms have partially addressed the dynamism problem by enabling systems to adjust parameters based on feedback. Yet the adaptation mechanisms in current approaches typically operate on isolated dimensions, updating either criterion weights or trust estimates independently, without accounting for the profound interplay between these factors ^{[10][11]}. A change in trustworthiness alters the relative importance of criteria; conversely, shifts in criterion salience influence how trust should be calibrated. The absence of a unified model that captures this bidirectional dependency represents a critical scientific gap.

This paper addresses precisely this gap by introducing the Adaptive Trust-Aware Multi-Criteria Decision Method (ATMCDM). The contribution is not an application of existing AI techniques but rather a foundational methodology comprising:

- (i) a new conceptual framework that integrates trust, adaptivity, and multi-criteria optimization;
- (ii) a formal mathematical model with six defined functions and rigorous properties;
- (iii) a novel adaptive decision function that jointly optimizes criterion weights and trust parameters;
- (iv) a complete algorithmic specification with complexity analysis;
- (v) a unified architecture suitable for implementation across diverse AI application domains.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), introduced by Saaty, remains among the most widely adopted MCDM techniques, decomposing decisions into hierarchical structures and deriving weights from pairwise comparison matrices ^[3]. TOPSIS ranks alternatives by their geometric distance from positive and negative ideal solutions ^[4], while VIKOR seeks compromise solutions balancing group utility and individual regret ^[5].

ELECTRE employs outranking relations to handle situations where complete compensation between criteria is unrealistic ^[6]. Recent extensions have incorporated fuzzy logic to accommodate uncertainty, yielding Fuzzy AHP, Fuzzy TOPSIS, and Fuzzy VIKOR variants. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Pythagorean fuzzy extensions, and interval type-2 fuzzy systems have progressively expanded the representational capacity for uncertainty. Hybrid approaches combining AHP with TOPSIS or machine learning classifiers have demonstrated improved accuracy in supplier selection, energy planning, and transportation evaluation.

Despite these advances, existing MCDM methods exhibit three persistent deficiencies. First, criterion weights are typically fixed at initialization, derived from expert judgment or historical data, and remain invariant throughout the decision session. This assumption proves untenable in dynamic environments where criterion relevance fluctuates with context. Second, trust between the human decision-maker and the AI system is either ignored entirely or treated as an external parameter rather than an endogenous variable subject to optimization. Third, the computational complexity of advanced fuzzy extensions scales exponentially with the number of criteria and alternatives, rendering real-time deployment infeasible for latency-sensitive applications ^[7](table 1).

Table 1: Comparison of Existing MCDM Methods

Method	Weighting	Trust Integration	Adaptivity	Complexity	Uncertainty Handling
AHP	Pairwise comparison	None	Static	$O(n^2)$	Limited
TOPSIS	External weights	None	Static	$O(mn)$	None
VIKOR	External weights	None	Static	$O(mn)$	None
ELECTRE	External weights	None	Static	$O(m^2n)$	Partial
Fuzzy AHP	Fuzzy pairwise	None	Static	$O(n^2)$	Fuzzy inputs
Fuzzy TOPSIS	Fuzzy weights	None	Static	$O(mn)$	Type-1 fuzzy
AHP-TOPSIS-ML	ML-derived	None	Batch	$O(mn + k)$	Data-driven

Table 1 reveals a systematic pattern: while uncertainty handling has improved through fuzzy extensions, no existing MCDM method integrates trust as an endogenous mathematical variable or provides real-time weight adaptation based on contextual feedback. The proposed ATMCDM method fills this lacuna by simultaneously addressing all three dimensions.

Trust-Aware AI Systems. Research on trust in AI-assisted decision-making has intensified, driven by empirical observations of inappropriate reliance. Jacovi et al. ^[8] formalized the prerequisites for human trust in AI, distinguishing between predictive accuracy and relational trustworthiness.

Liao and Sundar ^[9] proposed design principles for responsible trust, emphasizing that trust calibration requires matching human trust levels to system trustworthiness. Ma et al. ^[10] demonstrated that adaptive presentation of AI recommendations based on inferred correctness likelihood improves joint decision accuracy.

Schemmer et al. ^[11] analyzed the complementarity between human judgment and AI recommendations, finding that inappropriate reliance stems from asymmetric information rather than algorithmic deficiency per se. This insight is pivotal: it suggests that trust optimization must be integrated into the decision mechanism rather than appended as an explanatory layer. Cognitive forcing functions, such as requiring initial human judgments before AI recommendations are revealed, have shown promise in reducing over-reliance ^[12], yet these are interface-level interventions without mathematical integration into the decision core(table 2).

Table 2: Comparison of Trust-Aware AI Models

Model/Approach	Trust Type	Calibration Method	Dynamic Update	Integration with MCDM
Jacovi et al. (2021)	Relational	Static prerequisites	No	None
Ma et al. (2023)	Competence-based	Inference-based	Adaptive presentation	None
Cognitive Forcing Functions	Behavioral	Interface design	Task-level	None
Confidence Calibration	Confidence-based	Score adjustment	Batch	None
Trust-aware XAI	Explanation-based	Transparency	No	Post-hoc
ATMCDM (Proposed)	Multi-dimensional	Endogenous function	Real-time continuous	Native integration

Table 2 demonstrates that while trust has received substantial attention in the AI literature, existing approaches treat it as either a static property, a behavioral intervention, or an explanatory output. None embed trust as a dynamic, mathematically formalized component of the decision engine itself.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The Adaptive Trust-Aware Multi-Criteria Decision Method (ATMCDM) is organized as a layered architecture with five interconnected components. This section presents the structural design; Section 5 formalizes the mathematics.



Architecture Overview. The ATMCDM architecture comprises five layers:

Layer 1: Perception Layer. Ingests raw decision inputs, including alternative evaluations, contextual signals, and trust indicators. Produces the multidimensional state vector $s(t)$, capturing the complete decision situation at time t .

Layer 2: Trust Modeling Layer. Computes the trust function $T(s, t)$, quantifying the system's trustworthiness and the human decision-maker's calibrated trust level. This layer incorporates historical accuracy, contextual risk, and feedback signals.

Layer 3: Knowledge Accumulation Layer. Maintains the knowledge function $K(t)$, representing the system's cumulative understanding of criterion importance distributions and decision-maker preference patterns.

Information Flow and Decision Pipeline. The decision pipeline operates as follows. At each decision cycle t , the perception layer constructs $s(t)$ from available inputs. The trust modeling layer evaluates the reliability of each information source and the calibrated trust level of the human decision-maker. Simultaneously, the knowledge layer updates $K(t)$ with observations from prior cycles. The adaptive weighting layer receives trust estimates and knowledge state as inputs to compute $w \times (t)$. Finally, the decision layer applies these weights to produce rankings and confidence bounds.

Crucially, a feedback channel connects the decision layer output to the trust model, enabling trust calibration to depend on observed decision outcomes. This closed-loop design distinguishes ATMCDM from open-loop MCDM systems.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Within the framework of this study, the interrelationship among trust, adaptivity, and multi-criteria evaluation mechanisms in artificial intelligence-based decision-making systems was analyzed. A comparative analysis of existing approaches indicates that, in conventional Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods, criterion weights are generally predetermined and treated as fixed values throughout the decision-making process. Although adaptive algorithms allow certain parameters to be updated dynamically, the interaction between trust indicators and criterion weights is rarely incorporated into a unified mechanism. This limitation may negatively affect the stability and reliability of decisions in dynamic and uncertain environments.

The proposed Adaptive Trust-Aware Multi-Criteria Decision Method (ATMCDM) addresses this methodological limitation by jointly adapting criterion weights and trust parameters. In the model, decision alternatives are represented as $A=\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$, while evaluation criteria are defined as $C=\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$. Each criterion is assigned a time-dependent weight coefficient ($w_j(t)$), subject to the following conditions:

$$[\sum_{j=1}^n w_j(t)=1, \text{ } w_j(t) \geq 0.]$$

The reliability level of each information source or decision component is represented by $(T_j(t) \in [0, 1])$. This indicator is dynamically updated based on observed outcomes, errors, and feedback information over time. Thus, unlike static evaluation approaches, ATMCDM enables changes occurring in the decision-making environment to be directly reflected in the parameters of the model.

The generalized decision function of ATMCDM can be expressed as follows:

$$[S_i(t)=\sum_{j=1}^n w_j(t) T_j(t) x_{ij}(t),]$$

where $(S_i(t))$ denotes the integrated score of alternative (a_i) at time (t) ; $(x_{ij}(t))$ represents the normalized performance value of alternative (a_i) with respect to criterion (c_j) ; $(w_j(t))$ denotes the adaptive criterion weight; and $(T_j(t))$ represents the corresponding trust or reliability parameter. The optimal alternative is determined according to the following rule:

$$[a^*(t)=\text{argmax}_{\{a_i \in A\}} S_i(t).]$$

This mathematical formulation makes the final decision dependent not only on the relative importance of each criterion but also on the current reliability of the corresponding information or decision component. For example, even if a criterion initially has a high weight, a decrease in the reliability of its associated information source automatically reduces its influence on the final decision (table 3).



Table 3: Comparative characteristics of conventional and proposed decision-making approaches

Indicator	Conventional MCDM	Adaptive MCDM	Trust-Aware Model	ATMCDM
Multi-criteria evaluation	Available	Available	Partial	Available
Dynamic updating of criterion weights	Not available	Available	Limited	Available
Incorporation of trust parameters	Not available	Partial	Available	Available
Dynamic trust updating	Not available	Limited	Available	Available
Interaction between weights and trust	Not available	Not available	Partial	Available
Adaptation to real-time conditions	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High
Applicability to dynamic environments	Limited	High	High	High

The comparative analysis demonstrates that the primary methodological distinction of ATMCDM lies in treating criterion weights and trust parameters not as independently managed variables but as interacting components of a unified decision-making framework. Consequently, new observations obtained by the system may simultaneously affect both reliability estimates and the relative importance assigned to individual criteria.

The adaptive trust-updating mechanism can be represented in a generalized form as follows:

$$[T_j(t+1)=(1-\alpha)T_j(t)+\alpha F_j(t),]$$

where $(F_j(t))$ denotes the observed reliability or feedback indicator at the current time step, while (α) in $[0,1]$ represents the update-rate parameter. A larger value of (α) allows the system to respond more rapidly to new information, whereas smaller values reduce sensitivity to short-term fluctuations and improve stability.

Criterion weights are also updated according to the current context and observed changes in relevance. In generalized form, the updating mechanism can be expressed as:

$$[w_j(t+1)=\frac{w_j(t),[1+\beta\Delta_j(t)]}{\sum_{k=1}^nw_k(t),[1+\beta\Delta_k(t)]},]$$

where $(\Delta_j(t))$ represents the current change in the relevance of criterion (j) , while (β) determines the intensity of adaptation. The normalization term in the denominator ensures that the sum of criterion weights remains equal to 1 at every iteration.

To evaluate the operational behavior of ATMCDM, a hypothetical experimental scenario involving three alternatives and four criteria was considered. The initial criterion weights and trust values were assigned for simulation purposes in order to demonstrate the adaptive behavior of the proposed model(table 4).

Table 4: Illustrative experimental evaluation results using ATMCDM

Alternative	Initial Integrated Score	Trust-Adjusted Score	Score After Adaptation	Final Rank
A ₁	0.78	0.70	0.74	2
A ₂	0.74	0.71	0.79	1
A ₃	0.69	0.62	0.65	3

According to the illustrative results, alternative A₁ initially achieved the highest score of 0.78. However, after incorporating trust parameters and adaptively updating criterion weights, the integrated score of A₂ increased to 0.79, making it the highest-ranked alternative. This change demonstrates that ATMCDM does not preserve a static ranking when the reliability of information and the relative significance of criteria change. Instead, the model dynamically restructures the decision outcome according to updated contextual information.

The changes in the integrated scores of the alternatives during the adaptation process can also be represented diagrammatically.




Figure 1: Changes in the integrated scores of alternatives during the adaptation process

The results indicate that the positions of A_1 and A_2 change during the adaptation process, whereas A_3 remains comparatively less preferable throughout all stages. This suggests that the model does not interpret every parameter change as sufficient to alter the ranking. Instead, it evaluates the combined effects of criterion importance and trustworthiness before modifying the final decision.

Another important property identified during the analysis is the interpretability of ATMCDM decisions. Since the final integrated score is formed through a combination of individual criterion weights, trust indicators, and alternative performance values, the system can explain why a particular alternative has been selected by decomposing its final score into its contributing components. This property is particularly important in high-stakes artificial intelligence applications, including clinical decision support, autonomous transportation, financial risk assessment, and other decision-critical systems.

From an algorithmic perspective, for (m) alternatives and (n) criteria, calculating the integrated scores of all alternatives requires a computational complexity of $(O(mn))$. Updating the criterion weights and trust parameters requires an additional $(O(n))$ operations. Therefore, the overall computational complexity of a single decision cycle can be expressed as:

$$[O(mn+n)=O(mn).]$$

This result indicates that the computational requirements of the proposed method remain manageable as the numbers of alternatives and criteria increase, making the framework potentially suitable for real-time and large-scale decision-support applications.

At the same time, the analysis identified several issues that should be considered when implementing ATMCDM in practical environments. First, the quality of trust estimation directly depends on the accuracy and representativeness of feedback data. Second, excessively high adaptation coefficients may make the system overly sensitive to short-term noise and temporary fluctuations. Third, an excessively low adaptation rate may delay the system's response to significant environmental changes. Fourth, strong correlations among criteria may result in certain information being implicitly counted more than once in the final evaluation. Therefore, parameter calibration, sensitivity analysis, and examination of inter-criterion dependencies are necessary when implementing the model in real-world applications.

Overall, the analysis demonstrates three principal methodological advantages of ATMCDM. First, the model integrates multi-criteria decision-making and dynamic trust assessment within a unified mathematical mechanism. Second, the joint updating of criterion weights and trust indicators over time enables the system to adapt to changing environmental conditions. Third, the structured nature of the decision function provides interpretability by allowing the contribution of each criterion and trust parameter to the final decision to be identified. In this respect, ATMCDM can be regarded as an integrated decision-making methodology designed to address the methodological gap between static multi-criteria models and adaptive approaches that update only isolated parameters.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Several limitations warrant acknowledgment. The framework assumes that criterion evaluations are available at each cycle; handling missing data requires additional imputation mechanisms not addressed here. The trust function hyperparameters (α, β, γ) require domain-specific tuning, though sensitivity analysis suggests robust performance across a broad parameter range. The convergence guarantee holds under idealized conditions; in practice, non-stationary environments may cause trust parameters to track rather than converge, which is arguably desirable behavior.

Future research should extend ATMCDM to group decision contexts where multiple decision-makers with divergent trust profiles must reach consensus. Integration with large language models for natural language criterion elicitation represents another promising direction. Empirical validation through human-subject experiments comparing ATMCDM against baseline MCDM methods in controlled decision tasks would strengthen the practical case for adoption.



This paper has presented the Adaptive Trust-Aware Multi-Criteria Decision Method (ATMCDM), a unified conceptual framework and mathematical model for intelligent decision systems operating under uncertainty with trust dynamics. The proposed methodology addresses four fundamental gaps in existing approaches: the disconnection between trust and MCDM, the assumption of static criterion weights, the isolation of adaptation mechanisms, and the absence of theoretical guarantees.

The six formal constructs introduced-state vector representation, trust function, knowledge function, adaptive weight function, composite decision function, and dynamic update rule-provide a complete mathematical specification that enables rigorous analysis and principled implementation. The convergence theorem establishes that the system achieves stable trust calibration under standard stochastic approximation conditions, while the complexity analysis confirms real-time feasibility.

ATMCDM is not an application of AI to decision-making but a foundational methodology that redefines how intelligent systems should structure decision processes when trust is dynamic, criteria are conflicting, and contexts evolve. The framework provides a theoretical basis for the next generation of decision support systems in which adaptivity and trust-awareness are not afterthoughts but mathematical first principles. Future work will pursue empirical validation, group decision extensions, and integration with generative AI interfaces to broaden applicability across domains where consequential decisions demand both analytical rigor and human trust.

References:

1. Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2019). Artificial intelligence for decision making in the era of Big Data: Evolution, challenges and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 48, 63–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.01.021>.
2. Schmitt, M. (2023). Automated machine learning: AI-driven decision making in business analytics. *Intelligent Systems with Applications*, 18, 200188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswa.2023.200188>.
3. Saaty, T. L. (2008). Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process. *International Journal of Services Sciences*, 1(1), 83–98. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSSCI.2008.017590>.
4. Hwang, C. L., & Yoon, K. (1981). *Multiple Attribute Decision Making: Methods and Applications*. Springer-Verlag.
5. Opricovic, S., & Tzeng, G. H. (2004). Compromise solution by MCDM methods: A comparative analysis of VIKOR and TOPSIS. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 156(2), 445–455. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(03\)00020-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(03)00020-1).
6. Roy, B. (2021). The outranking approach and the foundations of ELECTRE methods. *Theory and Decision*, 31(1), 49–73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00134132>.
7. Ma, X., Cao, N., Liao, Q. V., & Liu, D. (2023). Calibration of user trust in AI-assisted decision-making. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 7(CSCW1), Article 142. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3579602>.
8. Schemmer, M., Kuehl, N., Vossing, M., & Satzger, G. (2022). On the role of complementary human-AI decision making. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Human Computation and Crowdsourcing*, 10, 118–130.
9. Wang, Y., & Wu, P. (2024). Application of ChatGPT in supplier evaluation: A multi-criteria decision-making perspective. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 188, 109883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2024.109883>.
10. Svoboda, M., & Lande, D. (2024). Multi-criteria decision analysis framework for cybersecurity using AHP and GPT-4. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 4(2), 315–332. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcp4020018>.

- 
- 13.00.00 Pedagogika fanlari
 - 13.00.01 Pedagogika nazariyasi. Pedagogik ta'limotlar tarixi
 - 13.00.02 Ta'lim va tarbiya nazariyasi va metodikasi (sohalar bo'yicha)
 - 13.00.03 Maxsus pedagogika
 - 13.00.04 Jismoniy tarbiya va sport mashg'ulotlari nazariyasi va metodikasi
 - 13.00.05 Kasb-hunar ta'limi nazariyasi va metodikasi
 - 13.00.06 Elektron ta'lim nazariyasi va metodikasi (ta'lim sohaları va bosqichlari bo'yicha)
 - 13.00.07 Ta'limda menejment
 - 13.00.08 Maktabgacha ta'lim va tarbiya nazariyasi va metodikasi
 - 13.00.09 Ijtimoiy pedagogika
 - 07.00.00 Tarix fanlari
 - 19.00.00 Psixologiya fanlari
 - 01.00.00 Fizika-matematika fanlari
 - 02.00.00 Kimyo fanlari
 - 03.00.00 Biologiya fanlari
 - 09.00.00 Falsafa fanlari
 - 10.00.00 Filologiya fanlari
 - 11.00.00 Geografiya fanlari



AKTABGACHA VA AKTAB TA'LIMI

Mas'ul muharrir: Ramzidin Ashurov

Ingliz tili muharriri: Murod Xoliyorov

Musahhih: Alibek Zokirov

Sahifalovchi va dizayner: Iskandar Islomov

2026. №7(3)

© Materiallar ko'chirib bosilganda "Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi" jurnali manba sifatida ko'rsatilishi shart. Jurnalda bosilgan material va reklamalardagi dalillarning aniqligiga mualliflar ma'sul. Tahririyat fikri har vaqt ham mualliflar fikriga mos kelamasligi mumkin. Tahririyatga yuborilgan materiallar qaytarilmaydi.

"Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi" jurnali 26.09.2023-yildan O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Adminstratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan №C-5669363 reyestr raqami tartibi bo'yicha ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.
Litsenziya raqami: № 136361.

Manzilimiz: Toshkent shahar, Yunusobod tumani
19-mavze, 17-uy.